

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

 OR/S 74,775
 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

 Ø8/594,195
 Ø1/31/96
 TAKABATAKE
 A 394-1969

26N2/0721

LOWE PRICE LEBLANC AND BECKER 99 CANAL CENTER PLAZA SUITE 300 ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

Г

EXAMINER LEE Y

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

07/21/97

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

...

Application No. 08/594,195

Applicant(s)

Akihiko Takabatake et al

Examiner

Y. Lee

Group Art Unit 2615



ТН	E PEI	ERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
	a) [\boxtimes expires3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
	b) [expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory A is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the darejection.	ction, whichever ate of the final
	date deter	extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the remining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.1 ulated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	purposes of
	Appo	pellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on iod for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).	(or within any
		ant's response to the final rejection, filed on $\underline{ Jul\ 11,\ 1997}$ has been considered with the follow NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	ving effect,
X	The	proposed amendment(s):	
		will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
	X v	will not be entered because:	
	X	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).	
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
	X	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or s issues for appeal.	implifying the
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claim	ıs.
	N	NOTE: <u>The additional limitation of "said picture being different from a picture comprised of said cer raises new issue.</u>	tain frame"
	_		
	□ <i>i</i>	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
	Nev	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): wly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if substrate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	bmitted in a
	Nev sepa	wly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if su	
_	New separate for a formal transfer a formal tran	wly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if subarate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. e affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in the considered but does not place the application in the considered but does not place the application in the considered but does not place the application in the considered but does not place the application in the considered but does not place the application in the considered but does not place the application in the considered but does not place the application in the considered but does not place the application in the considered but does not place the application in the considered but does not place the application in the considered but does not place the application in the considered but does not place the application in the considered but does not place the application in the considered but does not place the application in the considered but does not place the application in the considered but does not place the application in the considered but does not place the application in the considered but does not place the application in the considered but does not place th	ation in condition
	New separation of the separati	wly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if subarate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. e affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application allowance because: e affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were	newly raised by
	New separate for a formal the for	wly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if subarate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. e affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application allowance because: e affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a Examiner in the final rejection. If purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any)	newly raised by
	Newsepa The for a	wly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if subarate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. e affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application allowance because: e affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a Examiner in the final rejection.	newly raised by
	New separate for a The the For Clair Clair	wly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if substante, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. e affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application allowance because: e affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a Examiner in the final rejection. If purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any) aims allowed:	newly raised by
	New separate for a The the For Clair Clair Clair	wly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if substant, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. e affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application allowance because: e affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a Examiner in the final rejection. If purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any) aims allowed: Laims objected to:	newly raised by
	New separate for a The the For Clair Clair The	wly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if subtracted, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. e affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the applicated allowance because: e affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a Examiner in the final rejection. If purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any) aims allowed: aims objected to: aims rejected: 1-3, 8-10, 14, 16, 17, and 21-23	newly raised by
	New separate for a The the For Clair Clair The	would be allowable if subarate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. e affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application allowance because: e affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a Examiner in the final rejection. If purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any) aims allowed: aims objected to: aims rejected: 1-3, 8-10, 14, 16, 17, and 21-23 e proposed drawing correction filed on	newly raised by
	New separate for a the the For Clair Clair The Note	wly proposed or amended claims	newly raised by
	New separate for a the the For Clair Clair The Note	wly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if substante, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. e affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application allowance because: e affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a Examiner in the final rejection. If purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any) sims allowed: Is purposed to: It is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a Examiner in the final rejection. If purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any) sims allowed: It is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a Examiner in the final rejection. If purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any) sims allowed: It is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a Examiner in the final rejection. If purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any) sims allowed: It is not directed SOLELY to issues which were a Examiner in the final rejection. If purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any) sims allowed: If purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation). If purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation). If purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation). If purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation).	newly raised by